Case 1:05-cr-00063-LJO Document 22 Filed 11/23/05 Page 1 of 2

1 2 3 4 5	QUIN DENVIR, Bar #49374 Federal Defender ERIC V. KERSTEN, Bar #226429 Assistant Federal Defender Designated Counsel for Service 2300 Tulare Street, Suite 330 Fresno, California 93721-2226 Telephone: (559) 487-5561 Attorney for Defendant		
6	LUIS C. RODRIGUEZ		
7 8	IN THE LIMITED	STATES DISTRICT COLIDT	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	TOR THE LAGIER	IN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. 1:05-cr-00063 OWW	
12	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS) CONFERENCE AND RESET MOTION DRIEDNIC SCHEDULE AND ORDER	
13 14 15 16	v. LUIS C. RODRIGUEZ, Defendant.	 BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND ORDER THEREON Date: January 9, 2006 Time: 1:30 p.m. Judge: Hon. Oliver W. Wanger 	
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto through their respective		
18	counsel, DAVID L. GAPPA, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for Plaintiff, and ERIC V.		
19	KERSTEN, Assistant Federal Defender, counsel for Defendant Luis C. Rodriguez, that the date for		
20	status conference in this matter may be continued to January 9, 2006. It is also requested that a new		
21	motion briefing schedule be ordered. It is requested that any additional motions may be filed by		
22	December 5, 2005; that any response or opposition may be filed by January 3, 2006; and that status		
23	conference and hearing on the motions may be set for January 9, 2006. The date currently set for status		
24	conference is December 12, 2005. The requested new date is January 9, 2006.		
25	The reason for this request is that Mr. J	Rodriguez' residence and its curtilege were searched both	

with, and without, a warrant during and after his arrest. Defense counsel has just discovered grounds to

challenge the warrant and additional time is needed to brief the issue. Time is also requested to allow

for further efforts to reach a negotiated settlement of this case.

26

27

28

Case 1:05-cr-00063-LJO Document 22 Filed 11/23/05 Page 2 of 2

1	The parties agree that the delay resulting from the continuance shall be excluded as necessary for	
2	effective defense preparation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv), and for the filing of pretrial	
3	motions and ruling thereon pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(F).	
4		
5	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney	
6	Officed States Peteriney	
7	DATED: November 21, 2005 By <u>/s/ David L. Gappa</u> DAVID L. GAPPA	
8	Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff	
9		
10	QUIN DENVIR Federal Public Defender	
11		
12	DATED: November 21, 2005 By /s/ Eric V. Kersten ERIC V. KERSTEN	
13	Assistant Federal Defender Attorney for Defendant	
14	Luis C. Rodriguez	
15 16		
17		
18	ORDER	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED. The intervening period of delay is excluded in the interests of justice	
20	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(F) and 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
21	DATED: November _23, 2005	
22	/s/Oliver W. Wanger	
23	OLIVER W. WANGER, Judge United States District Court	
24	Eastern District of California	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Stipulation to Continue Status Conference and Reset Motion Briefing Schedule 2	